



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/597,975	06/20/2000	Yochai Konig	UTO-101	9014

30869 7590 06/04/2003

LUMEN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SERVICES  
2345 YALE STREET  
SUITE 200  
PALO ALTO, CA 94306

EXAMINER

BURGESS, BARBARA N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2157

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/597,975

Applicant(s)

KONIG ET AL.

Examiner

Barbara N Burgess

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2157

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Breese et al. (hereinafter "Breese", 6,006,218).

As per claims 1 and 32, Breese discloses a computer-implemented method for providing automatic, personalized information services to a user u, the method comprising:

- Transparently monitoring user interactions with data while the user is engaged in normal use of a computer (column 5, lines 25-38);
- Updating user-specific data files, wherein the user-specific data files comprise the monitored user interactions with the data and a set of documents associated with the user (column 8, lines 33-36, 44-46);

Art Unit: 2157

- Estimating parameters of a learning machine, wherein the parameters define a User Model specific to the user and wherein the parameters are estimated in part from the user-specific data files (column 7, lines 2-5);
- Analyzing a document  $d$  to identify properties of the document (column 8, lines 15-26);
- Estimating a probability  $P(u/d)$  that the document  $d$  is of interest to the user  $u$ , wherein the the probability  $P(u/d)$  is estimated by applying the identified properties of the document to the learning machine having the parameters defined by the User Model (column 6, lines 38-43, column 7, lines 1-10, 31-36, column 9, lines 11-15, 51-55);
- Using the estimated probability to provide automatic, personalized information services to the user (column 16, lines 34-42).

As per claims 2 and 33, Breese discloses wherein the user-specific data files include documents of interest to the user  $u$  and documents that are not of interest to the user  $u$ , and wherein estimating the parameters comprises distinct treatment of the documents of interest and the documents that are not of interest (column 12, lines 44-55).

As per claims 3 and 34, Breese discloses wherein analyzing the document  $d$  provides for the analysis of documents having multiple distinct media types (column 8, lines 15-26)

As per claims 4 and 35, Breese discloses wherein transparently monitoring user interactions with data comprises monitoring multiple distinct modes of user interaction with network data (column 5, lines 25-38).

As per claims 5 and 36, Breese discloses wherein the multiple distinct modes of user interaction comprise a mode selected from the group consisting of a network searching mode, a network navigation mode, a network browsing mode, an email reading mode, and email writing mode, a document writing mode, a viewing "pushed" information mode, a finding expert advice mode, and a product purchasing mode (column 5, lines 25-38).

As per claims 6 and 37, Breese discloses crawling network documents, wherein the crawling comprises parsing crawled documents for links, calculating probable user interest in the parsed links using the learning machine, and preferentially following links likely to be of interest to the user (column 9, lines 51-67, column 10, lines 1-27, 38-55).

As per claims 7 and 38, Breese discloses wherein the identified properties of the document  $d$  comprise a user  $u$ -independent property selected from the group consisting of:

- A probability  $P(t|d)$  that the document  $d$  is of interest to users interested in a topic  $t$  (column 6, lines 38-45);
- A topic classifier discrete probability distribution  $P(t/d)$  (column 6, lines 38-45);

Art Unit: 2157

- A product model discrete probability distribution  $P(p/d)$  (column 6, lines 38-45);
- Product feature values extracted from the document  $d$  (column 9, lines 50-67, column 10, lines 1-20);
- An author of the document  $d$  (column 9, lines 50-67, column 10, lines 1-20);
- An age of the document  $d$  (column 9, lines 50-67, column 10, lines 1-20);
- A list of documents linked to the document  $d$  (column 9, lines 50-67, column 10, lines 1-20);
- A language of the document  $d$  (column 9, lines 50-67, column 10, lines 1-20);
- A number of users who have accessed the document  $d$  (column 11, lines 1-30);
- A number of users who have saved the document  $d$  in a favorite document list (column 11, lines 1-30);
- A list of users previously interested in the document  $d$  (column 11, lines 1-30).

As per claims 8 and 39, Breese discloses wherein the parameters of the learning machine define a user  $u$ -dependent function selected from the group consisting of:

- A user topic probability distribution  $P(t/u)$  representing interests of the user  $u$  in various topics  $t$  (column 6, lines 38-45);
- A user product probability distribution  $P(p/u)$  representing interests of the user  $u$  in various products  $p$  (column 6, lines 38-45);
- A user product feature probability distribution  $P(F/u, p)$  representing interests of the user  $u$  in various features  $f$  of each of the various products  $p$  (column 6, lines 38-45, column 9, lines 50-67, column 10, lines 1-20);

Art Unit: 2157

- A website probability distribution  $P(s/u)$  representing interests of the user  $u$  in various websites  $s$  (column 8, lines 1-27);
- A cluster probability distribution  $P(c(u)/u)$  representing similarity of the user  $u$  to users in various clusters  $c(u)$  (column 9, lines 25-40);
- A phrase model probability distribution  $P(w/u)$  representing interests of the user  $u$  in various phrases  $w$  (column 9, lines 25-40);
- An information theory based measure  $I(lw; lu)$  representing mutual information between various phrases  $w$  and the user  $u$  (column 9, lines 25-40);
- An information theory based measure  $I(lt; lu)$  representing mutual information between various topics  $t$  and the user  $u$  (column 6, lines 38-45);
- An information theory based measure  $I(ls; lu)$  representing mutual information between various websites  $s$  and the user  $u$  (column 8, lines 1-27);
- An information theory based measure  $I(lp; lu)$  representing mutual information between various products  $p$  and the user  $u$  (column 8, lines 1-27);
- An information theory based measure  $I(lf; lu)$  representing mutual information between various features  $f$  of each of the various products  $p$  and the user  $u$  (column 8, lines 1-27).

As per claims 9 and 40, Breese discloses wherein the parameters of the learning machine define:

- A user product probability distribution  $P(p/u)$  representing interests of the user  $u$  in various products  $p$  (column 8, lines 1-27);

- A user product feature probability distribution  $P(f/u, p)$  representing interests of the user  $u$  in various features  $f$  of each of the various products  $p$  (column 8, lines 1-27);
- Estimating a probability  $P(u/d, \text{product described}=p)$  that a document  $d$  that describes a product  $p$  is of interest to the user  $u$ , wherein the probability is estimated in part from the user product probability distribution and the user product feature probability distribution (column 8, lines 1-27).

As per claims 10 and 41, Breese discloses recommending products to the user based on the probability  $P(u/d, \text{product described}=p)$  (column 6, lines 38-45).

As per claims 11 and 42, Breese discloses estimating a posterior probability  $P(u/d, q)$  that the document  $d$  is of interest to the user  $u$ , given a query  $q$  submitted by the user (column 8, lines 44-67).

As per claims 12 and 43, Breese discloses wherein estimating the posterior probability comprises estimating a probability  $P(q/d, u)$  that the query  $q$  is expressed by the user  $u$  with an information need in the document  $d$  (column 8, lines 44-67).

As per claims 13 and 44, Breese discloses applying the identified properties of the document  $d$  to a learning machine having product parameters characterizing a product  $p$  to estimate a probability  $P(p/d)$  that the document  $d$  refers to the product  $p$  (column 6, lines 38-45).



As per claims 14 and 45, Breese discloses updating the product parameters based on the identified properties of the document  $d$  and the estimated probability  $P(p/d)$  (column 8, lines 33-36, 44-46).

As per claims 15 and 46, Breese discloses initializing the product parameters based on a set of documents associated with the product  $p$  (column 8, lines 15-50).

As per claims 16 and 47, Breese discloses clustering multiple users into clusters of similar users, wherein the clustering comprises calculating distances between User Models, and selecting similar users based on the calculated distances between User Models (column 9, lines 25-40).

As per claims 17 and 48, discloses calculating relative entropy values between User Models of multiple users, and clustering together users based on the calculated relative entropy values (column 9, lines 25-40).

As per claims 18 and 49, Breese discloses wherein the parameters defining the User Model comprise calculated distances between the User Model and User Models of users similar to the user (column 11, columns 13-14).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 19 and 50, Breese discloses selecting in a group of users an expert user in an area expertise, wherein selecting the expert user comprises finding an expert User Model among User Models of the group of users, such that the expert User Model indicates a strong interest of the expert user in a document associated with the area of expertise (column 9, lines 20-50).

As per claim 20 and 51, Breese discloses parsing the document d for hyperlinks, and separately estimating for each of the hyperlinks a probability that the hyperlink is of interest to the user u (column 9, lines 51-67, column 10, lines 1-27, 38-55).

As per claims 21 and 52, Breese discloses sending to a third party web server user interest information derived from the User Model, whereby the third party web server may customize its interaction with the user (column 17, lines 6-25).

As per claims 22 and 53, Breese discloses wherein the monitored user interactions include a sequence of interaction times (column 9, lines 63-67).

As per claims 23 and 54, Breese discloses initializing the User Model using information selected from the group consisting of a set of documents provided by the user, a web browser history file associated with the user, a web browser bookmarks file associated with the user, ratings by the user of a set of documents, and previous product purchases made by the user (column 5, lines 25-38).

As per claims 24 and 55, Breese discloses modifying the User Model based on User Model modification requests provided by the user (column 8, lines 15-60).

As per claims 25 and 56, Breese discloses providing to the user a score for a document identified by the user, wherein the score is derived from the estimated probability (column 12, lines 49-55, column 13, lines 1-5).

As per claims 26 and 57, Breese discloses providing to the user a 3D map of a hyperlinked document collection, wherein the 3D map indicates a user interest in each document (column 5, lines 25-38).

As per claims 27 and 58, Breese discloses temporarily using a User Model that is built from a set of predetermined parameters of a profile selected by the user (column 8, lines 15-60).

As per claims 28 and 59, Breese discloses initializing the User Model by selecting a set of predetermined parameters of a prototype user selected by the user (column 8, lines 15-60).

As per claims 29 and 60, Breese discloses updating the predetermined parameters of the prototype user based on actions of users similar to the prototype user (column 8, lines 33-36, 44-46).

As per claims 30 and 61, Breese discloses identifying a set of users interest in the document d (column 16, lines 34-42).

As per claims 31 and 62, Breese discloses calculating a range of interests in the document d for the identified set of users (column 16, lines 34-42).

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (703) 305-3366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,975  
Art Unit: 2157

Page 12

Barbara N Burgess  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2157

\*\*\*

June 2, 2003

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Saleh Najjar', with a stylized, cursive script.

**SALEH NAJJAR  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**